

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-26 were previously pending. As noted above, claims 1-3, 6-10, 13, 19, 20 and 23 have been amended, claim 26 has been canceled, and claims 27-34 have been added. Support for these amendments may be found throughout the Specification. Applicants note that claims 1 and 8 have been amended to correct typographical errors in the preamble. Thus, claims 1-25 and 27-34 are now pending.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application based on the following remarks.

Claim Objections

Claims 2-5 and 9-12 are objected to because of various informalities, and claims 6, 7, 13 and 19-24 are objected to as being in improper form.

Applicants have revised claims 2, 3, 9 and 10 to change “the same” to --the oscillator--, as suggested by the Examiner.

Applicants respectfully traverse the objection to claims 4, 5, 11 and 12, as the recited claim elements are claiming both “at approximately the frequencies...” and “at substantially the frequencies...,” which is different subject matter. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that this claim language is proper.

Additionally, Applicants have amended claims 6, 7, 13, 19, 20 and 23 to eliminate the objected to claim language. Further, because of the amendments to claims 19, 20 and 23, claims 21, 22 and 24 did not require any further amendment.

Therefore, based on the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the objection to claims 2-5 and 9-12 because of various informalities, and to claims 6, 7, 13 and 19-24 as being in improper form.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for being an omnibus claim. Applicants have canceled claim 26. Therefore, based on the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of 26 under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the indication that claims 1-25 are allowable if the objections are overcome. As noted above, Applicants believe that the objections are overcome. Thus, based on the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to allow claims 1-25.

New Claims

Applicants have added new claims 27-34 to recite subject matter to which they are entitled. As noted above, these new claims are fully supported throughout the Specification.

Additionally, claims 27-34 are allowable, as there is no combination of the cited references that discloses or suggests the subject matter recited by these claims.

In particular, claims 27-33 are allowable for at least the same reasons as claims 1-7. Also, claim 34 is allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 25. Further, each of these claims separately recites subject matter not disclosed or suggested by any combination of the cited references.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner allow claims 27-34.

Application No. 10/529,434
Amendment dated February 9, 2009
Reply to Office Action of October 20, 2009

CONCLUSION

In light of these remarks, Applicants submit that the application is in condition for allowance, for which early action is requested.

Please charge any fees or overpayments that may be due with this response to Deposit Account No. 17-0026.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: Feb. 9, 2009

By: A. Katbab
Abdollah Katbab, Reg. No. 45,325
858.651.4132

QUALCOMM Incorporated
Attn: Patent Department
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, California 92121-1714
Telephone: (858) 658-5787
Facsimile: (858) 658-2502